

Original article

# Trends in Adolescent Health: Successes and Challenges From 2010 to the Present

Sam Beckwith, Ph.D. <sup>a,\*</sup>, Venkatraman Chandra-Mouli, M.B.B.S., M.Sc. <sup>b</sup>, and Robert Wm. Blum, M.D., Ph.D., M.P.H. <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Population, Family, and Reproductive Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland <sup>b</sup> Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health Research (Retired), UNDP/UNFPA/UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Human Reproductive Programme, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

*Article history:* Received November 6, 2023; Accepted April 15, 2024 *Keywords:* Adolescence; Education; Sexual and reproductive health; Nutrition; Mental health; COVID-19

# ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** This review considers trends in some of the major adolescent health outcomes and contextual influences since 2010, and explores the relevance of recent cultural, societal, and public health developments on adolescent well-being.

**Methods:** Based on a review of major reports published since 2010, we identified key topics of impact on adolescent health and development across several domains (education, economic opportunity, sexual and reproductive health, nutrition, and mental health) and ecological levels (from individual- to macro-level influences). Within these areas, we synthesized findings to describe recent trends, noting variation across countries/regions, gender/sex, and other social stratifications when possible.

**Results:** Since 2010, progress in several areas of sexual and reproductive health has been most striking, with notable global declines in female genital mutilation/cutting, child marriage, HIV transmission, and adolescent childbearing. Participation and retention in school has increased, although the COVID-19 pandemic interrupted positive progress for many adolescents and contributed to social isolation and economic insecurity. The mental health and nutrition domains have clear challenges. Increased internalizing mental health issues have been observed cross-culturally, especially among girls. The prevalence of anemia has remained stagnant, while overweight and obesity rates are rising. Within domains, we highlight uneven progress across and within countries.

**Discussion:** Based on recent successes and emerging challenges in adolescent well-being, we find that more and better research is needed that consistently takes an intersectional perspective, and critically, action must be taken to consolidate the gains in sexual and reproductive health and extend them to other areas of adolescent health.

© 2024 Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

#### IMPLICATIONS AND CONTRIBUTION

This review describes recent trends affecting adolescents' lives and well-being around the globe across multiple domains. Depending on the health issue, there has been progress, stagnation, or decline. Prevalent disparities in each area point towards the continued need for equity-focused policy and investment.

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare no real or perceived conflicts of interest.

**Disclaimer:** This article was published as part of a supplement supported by the World Health Organization (WHO). The opinions or views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the funder.

\* Address correspondence to: Sam Beckwith, Ph.D., Department of Population, Family, and Reproductive Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, 615 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21205.

E-mail address: sbeckwi2@jhmi.edu (S. Beckwith).

Adolescence, defined by WHO as ages 10-19 years (Table 1), is a developmental process as well as a period of significant change in the eyes of society and the law. Developmentally, the adolescent period allows for the emergence of reproductive capability as well as increasingly complex capacities for reasoning and decision-making [1]. That said, the age bracket of 10-19 years does not fully capture the dramatic transitions of

1054-139X/© 2024 Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2024.04.015



JOURNAL OF ADOLESCENT HEALTH

www.jahonline.org

 Table 1

 Age groups and definitions

0 0 I				
Age group	Years of life			
Children	0-17 years			
Adolescents	10-19 years			
Young adolescents	10-14 years			
Youth	15-24 years			

Sources: United Nations, World Health Organization, The Lancet.

this developmental stage [2]. Adolescence includes changes that are physical in nature, as with puberty, which may begin as young as age 8; meanwhile, other changes such as brain development may continue well into the third decade of life. During this transition from childhood to adulthood, adolescents also assume or are thrust into new social roles [3]. They have to negotiate new expectations and responsibilities including the increasing relevance of peers and peer group influences, their developing sense of self, and the growing recognition that childhood is behind them and adulthood is on the horizon. For some adolescents, it is also a period marked by the initiation of risk behaviors, the emergence of mental health challenges, or expectations that they will rapidly assume adult roles [4,5]. Depending on context, adolescents also experience some or all transitions into legal adulthood. Depending on the country, older adolescents can consent to treatments, vote, and access substances such as alcohol, while younger adolescents cannot. So too, older adolescents can consent to participate in research studies on their own.

Today, adolescents face new and challenging contexts that were not experienced to the same extent by previous generations. Adolescence is a period when reliable institutions, structures, stability, and supports are of great importance to ensuring healthy development [6]. Yet those who entered adolescence since 2010 have experienced disruption after disruption. Conflict and crisis contributed to displacement which for those under age 18 has increased from 21 to 43 million between 2010 and 2022 [7]. The COVID-19 pandemic introduced further destabilization to adolescents' education, their social lives, and to the expectation that family members and loved ones would stay healthy and living. While the costs of social isolation among adults has been welldocumented in high-income nations for more than 2 decades [8], now it is being observed and linked with loneliness among adolescents around the world [9]. Isolation related to COVID-19 coincided with growing concern around adolescents' mental health [10] and use of social media [11]. The expansion of digital connections to adolescents around the globe can offer them new and exciting opportunities [12], but a nuanced understanding of the interrelationships between social media, social isolation, loneliness, and mental health among adolescents is still lacking.

The adolescent population has grown to approximately 1.3 billion, comprising nearly one in six people alive today [13]. Today's adolescents are not only the largest cohort but also among the most diverse group the world has ever seen. The proportion of the population made up by adolescents is even greater in sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, the Middle East and North Africa (23%, 18%, and 18%, respectively) [14]. While global data are scant, it is clear that more and more adolescents are publicly sharing their nonbinary gender identities [15]. Around the world, there are still physical, emotional, and social threats faced by members of the LGBTQ+ community, including the criminalization of same-sex relationships in 67 countries [16]. In

the United States, waves of legislation banning or restricting gender-affirming care for transgender young people have been proposed or codified [17]. Discrimination faced by the LGBTQ+ community is just one of many examples of rising intolerance and mistrust around the globe. Today, nearly four in 10 countries has severe legal restrictions or social hostilities against free religious practice [18], and globally, levels of institutional trust are on the decline [19].

Decades of migration related to economic and educational opportunity, drought, conflict, and oppression means that more than ever before, many adolescents are growing up in ethnically diverse contexts [20]. While such diversity confers many benefits to communities, adolescent immigrants and descendants of immigrants face emotional and social discrimination [21]. While there has been growing awareness over the past decade that the contexts in which young people live impact both their health and behaviors, and a growing body of research is working to reflect this fact [22]. Going forward, it will be essential for such research to consider context-specific experiences by sex, cultural background, gender identity, age group, and other factors.

## Changing social contexts of adolescence

The major physical and cognitive changes that take place during adolescence are matched by changes in young people's social experiences. Early adolescence, the period from ages 10 through 14, represents some of the most significant changes to how a young person negotiates their environments. For many adolescents, this period also brings increasingly gendered experiences. Girls entering adolescence may face increased expectations for housework and sibling care, disconnection from schooling and peers, and even the possibility of forced or unwanted marriage [3]. Male adolescents also experience new burdens in this period facing mounting pressure to meet masculine gender expectations such as toughness and physical dominance [23,24]. Additionally, some boys are pressured to leave school before completion to work in formal or informal economies [25,26]. These gender differences become starkly apparent when looking at mortality data with boys experiencing excess death due to violence, substance use, and numerous other preventable risks [27].

## Priority concerns for adolescent health

The factors and outcomes highlighted in the present paper were selected based on three considerations: their empirical relevance for health and development over the life course, their connection to the broader macro-level trends that have shaped the world in which adolescents live since 2010, and adolescent health issues prioritized in a range of publications including the Lancet Commission on Adolescent Health and Well-being [28,29], WHO's Global Accelerated Action for the Health of Adolescents [30], the State of the World's Children series from UNICEF [31], and PMNCH's Interim Analysis, What Young People Want [32]. A crosswalk between the priority areas highlighted by these efforts and the focal topics of this review is included in Table 2. We organize the review into five subsections starting with nutrition, sexual and reproductive health, mental health, and finally education and employment.

# Table 2

Priority areas	for adolescent	health and	well-being
----------------	----------------	------------	------------

Topic in review	LC	AA-HA	SOWC	WYPW
Nutrition • Undernutrition (anemia) • Overnutrition (Over- weight/obesity)	<ul><li>Undernutrition</li><li>Overnutrition</li></ul>	Optimum nutrition	• Nutritional status	Optimum nutrition
Sexual and reproductive health HIV Non-HIV STIs Female genital mutila- tion/cutting Child marriage Childbearing	<ul> <li>Child marriage</li> <li>HIV</li> <li>Childbearing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>HIV</li> <li>Other STIs</li> <li>Female genital mutilation/cutting</li> <li>Child marriage</li> <li>Childbearing</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Sexual and reproductive health</li> <li>Child marriage</li> </ul>
Mental health <ul> <li>Depression</li> <li>Loneliness</li> </ul>	Mental disorders	Mental health	• Mental health	Mental health
Education • School enrollment • Educational aspirations	School enrollment	• Education	• Education	• Education
Employment and economic opportunity • Connection to workforce	Connection to workforce	Employability	Employment and eco- nomic opportunity	Employability
Other health and well- being topics	<ul> <li>Violence/injury</li> <li>Substance use</li> <li>Infectious/vaccine-pre- ventable diseases</li> <li>Physical disorders</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Violence/injury</li> <li>Substance use</li> <li>Communicable/noncommunicable diseases</li> <li>Physical activity/sedentary behavior</li> <li>Agency/resilience</li> <li>Safety/supportive environment</li> <li>Connectedness, positive values, contribution to society</li> </ul>	• Disability	<ul> <li>Agency/resilience</li> <li>Safety/supportive environment</li> <li>Connectedness, positive values, contribution to society</li> </ul>

Text in shaded cells indicates overlap between the topic or one or more subtopics in our review and major adolescent health issues raised by the cited report/series (bullets use terminology from the report/series). "Other health and well-being topics" include health issues and elements of well-being that were covered by the cited report/series but were not of primary focus in our review.

AA-HA = WHO Global Accelerated Action for the Health of Adolescents; LC = Lancet Commission on Adolescent Health and Wellbeing; SOWC = State of the World's Children (2010–2023 reports); WYPW = What Young People Want.

*Objective.* The objectives of this paper are first to present trend data since 2010 related to the five categories noted above, and then to highlight some of the contextual factors impacting adolescent health and well-being. There is no attempt to exhaustively review all adolescent health concerns; rather, we focus on a set of priorities informed by the literature and the voices of young people.

# Nutrition

Both undernutrition and overnutrition have major impacts on health during adolescence and across the lifespan. However, until recently global attention to these outcomes in adolescence has not reached a level commensurate with their health consequences [33]. As Patton et al.'s commentary correctly notes, the UN's Sustainable Development Goals have no adolescent-specific weight or nutrition targets, nor do targets of the UN Decade for Action on Nutrition [34,35]. *The Lancet Commission on Adolescence* drew attention to this deficit in 2016 [28]. More recently, 2022, *The Lancet* published a series on adolescent nutrition [36]. While it is beyond the scope of this paper to review all global nutrition trends, two are especially worth highlighting due both to their prevalence and consequences: iron deficiency anemia and overweight. Iron deficiency anemia. While adolescent-specific global data on anemia are limited, research from the Global Burden of Disease Study estimated the number of prevalent cases worldwide among adolescent girls at between 60 and 70 million for girls ages 10-14 and between 80 and 90 million for those ages 15-19 [37]. Le Dain et al. reported that nearly half (49%) of adolescent girls in West and Central Africa—where global prevalence of anemia is highest—are anemic; and there has been very little change over the past 20 years [38]. Similarly, UNICEF reports that globally the prevalence of anemia for adolescent girls and women of child-bearing age is 30%, essentially unchanged since 2010 [39]. In their analysis of data from 1990 to 2016, Azzopardi et al. found that yearover-year declines in adolescent anemia were modest, with increases in the overall burden of disease due to larger population sizes [29]. Thus, data from recent years point toward a continuation in the lack of progress in addressing this outcome. As Kassebaum has shown, iron deficiency anemia is associated with numerous short- and long-term health risks including poor pregnancy outcomes, impaired cognitive and motor development and diminished school and work performance [40].

*Overweight and obesity.* Analyzing more than a decade of data for adolescents ages 12–15 from 21 middle- and high-income countries, Fan and Zhang [41] estimated a pooled prevalence of

27.7% for overweight and obesity, with upward trends during the study period noted in half the countries and decreases found in only two. The *World Obesity Atlas, 2023* indicated that, in 2020, an estimated 10% of adolescent boys (103 million) and 8% of girls (72 million) worldwide were obese [42]. The report also indicates that without significant investments the prevalence of adolescent obesity is projected to double by 2035. Nowhere is there indication that these trends are slowing.

The consequences are profound; the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention indicates that obesity in childhood increases the risks for hypertension, Type 2 diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, asthma, sleep apnea, joint problems, gallbladder disease, mental health disorders, and social problems such as isolation and bullying [8]. So too, the *World Obesity Atlas* estimates that worldwide in 2020 the economic costs of overweight and obesity were nearly two trillion dollars, or 2.4% of the global gross domestic product [42]. Clearly, there is no indication that either malnutrition (as measured by iron-deficiency anemia) or obesity is declining; rather, they will remain among the most pressing adolescent health concerns over the next decade.

#### Sexual and reproductive health

HIV. Since 2010, new HIV infections for all age groups have declined by a third from approximately 2.2 million per year to an estimated 1.5 million per year [43]. During the same period, declines for those under the age of 15 have been particularly steep: from 310,000 new infections per year to 130,000, a nearly 60% reduction [43]. From 2010 to 2020, declines for adolescent boys and girls ages 15-19 were also notable (approximately 22% and 27%, respectively), although new infections for girls remain nearly 70% greater than those in boys of the same age group [44]. In most regions of the world, the coming years point towards sustained progress. The number of infections among adolescents and young adults ages 15-24 are expected to decrease by 30% or more from 2010 to 2030 in areas including East Asia and the Pacific (44.3% projected decrease), South Asia (38.0%), North America (34.4%), and Eastern and Southern Africa (32.4%) [44].

Sexually transmitted infections. While there have been impressive reductions in adolescent HIV incidence since 2010, the same is not true for most other STIs. Analyzing Global Burden of Disease data for five STIs (syphilis, chlamydia, gonorrhea, trichomoniasis, and genital herpes), Du et al. [45] reported significant yearly increases in each condition, with the exception of gonorrhea (which remained unchanged). While incidence rates vary substantially by region, age, and socioeconomic factors, broadly, STI incidence tends to increase sharply during the adolescent years, leading up to a peak during adulthood [45]. In the United States, for example, of the more than 20 million new STIs annually, about half are among youth ages 15–24 [46,47]. Today, approximately one in four sexually active adolescents in the United States have an STI, with chlamydia and human papillomavirus infections the most common [48]; rates remain particularly elevated for those experiencing social marginalization, such as Black adolescents [49]. Shannon and Klausner [48] suggest that there are several reasons for the higher rates among adolescents: their greater likelihood to have multiple concurrent partners, lower likelihood than other age groups to use condoms, greater biological susceptibility due to cervical immaturity, and a lower likelihood than adults to use health services. The health

consequences of STIs have been well documented including risks for infertility, cervical cancer, adverse birth outcomes, and increased risk of acquiring HIV [50].

*Female genital mutilation/cutting.* A concerted global effort to reduce FGM/C appears to have had quite positive results. Specifically, the evidence is that over the past 2 decades there has been a decline in FGM/C among 15–19 year olds in 31 marker countries, from about one-in-two in the 1990s to about one-in-three today [51]. Using both Multiple Cluster Indicator Survey and Demographic and Health Surveillance data, from 29 countries in Africa, researchers reported striking reductions in FGM/C over the course of one generation. In East Africa, the prevalence of FGM/C declined from 71.4% in 1995 to 8% in 2016, and in West Africa the decline was from 73.6% in 1996 to 25.4% in 2017 [52].

Child marriage. Marriage before the age of 18 has declined from one in four girls at the turn of the millennium to one in five today [53]. The World Bank has noted that when averaged around the world, the age of marriage has increased 1 year from 1994 to 2015 [54]. Today, women first marry on average at age 24 and men at 27 years of age. The greatest delay in marriage has been in Latin America and the Caribbean, where for women the average age of marriage rose from 23 to 26 years, and for men it increased from 26 to 28 years. However, among regions where the prevalence of child marriage was over 20% in 2012, Latin America also had the smallest decrease over the past decade [53]. In Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa, child marriage has decreased among girls from the wealthiest backgrounds but increased among girls from the poorest backgrounds [53]. Such bifurcated trends suggest that, while child marriage is reducing, is it also becoming increasing concentrated among the economically disadvantaged. Not surprisingly, delaying marriage until after age 18 is associated with less childbearing over the lifespan [55], as well as longer spacing between childbirths and higher educational achievement [56].

Despite these overall declines, substantial work remains. According to the latest data, one in 20 girls around the world married before age 15 [57]. While marriage at such early ages occurs in many parts of the world, it remains most prevalent in the West and Central African region, where an estimated 12% of girls are married by age 15 [57]. Recently, experts noted that despite great progress made in numerous countries (in South Asia, the prevalence of child marriage was nearly cut in half from 2012 to 2022), the pace of change in other parts of the world remains exceedingly slow [53].

*Childbearing and family formation.* Between 2010 and the present, adolescent childbearing has continued a decades-long decline at the global level; and among the most recent cohort of adolescents with available data, fewer than one in three began childbearing as teenagers [58]. Declines were especially steep in Central, South, and Western Asia as well as Northern Africa; meanwhile, there has been an uptick in adolescent childbearing in Oceania; and the rate of adolescent childbearing in sub-Saharan Africa still exceeds that of other regions [58]. As the United Nations Population Fund notes, globally "...the pace of decline has been alarmingly slow — often by only a few percentage points per decade — and has not kept pace with declines in total fertility." [58] A recent analysis of data from 21 Latin American and Caribbean countries found that since 2010, 13 had detectable declines in adolescent childbearing while only two (Mexico and Colombia) had increases. [59] The study also found the continued presence of social and economic inequities on this outcome, as poorer, rural, and Indigenous and Afro-descended girls still experienced greater childbearing in adolescence relative to their peers – similar to the disparities found in child marriage.

While declining fertility rates impact family formation, as Pesando et al. [60] have noted, the declining fertility rates are but one part of the story of changing family formation. Beyond fertility, trends in family formation and structure include changes in the nature and form of marriage worldwide and the decline of patriarchal gender roles [61–65]. Today, we see the latter two drivers represented in worldwide changes such as technological development, macro-economic trends and uncertainty, the largest cohort ever of adolescents, and the rise in both female education and paid employment [66,67].

# Mental health

It is estimated that approximately one in seven adolescents globally lives with a mental health disorder [68], and many more experience significant psychosocial distress that does not rise to the level of a clinical diagnosis [69]. For adolescents the most widespread of these mental health conditions are anxiety and depression. Other prevalent conditions include drug and alcohol abuse, conduct disorders, eating disorders and suicidal behaviors [70,71]. Taken together, of lifetime mental health conditions, approximately one-third have their onset before age 14, half by age 18, and fully three-quarters by age 24 [72].

Analyses of 19 longitudinal studies conducted over a 20-year period found that externalizing behaviors (e.g., fighting, drug use) appear to have been relatively stable, while internalized distress (e.g., suicidal thoughts and attempts) appears to be increasing [73]. Study authors concluded that internalizing problems appear to be worsening for adolescent girls, but boys' externalizing behaviors, while problematic, do not appear to have changed much over time. This worrying trend was echoed by Blomqvist et al. [74] The rise of depression has been well documented among adolescent girls since the 1980s, especially in high income countries such as Germany, Greece, Iceland, New Zealand, Norway, People's Republic of China and Sweden [75]. More recently, Shorey et al. [76] estimated an overall 14% increase in adolescent depression over the two decades of the current century (greater among female adolescents). Yang et al. [77], studying long term trends in anxiety, estimated that incidence of anxiety disorders consistently peaks in early adolescence.

Despite the rise of depression, adolescent suicide appears on the decline globally from yearly rates of 8.5 per 100,000 in the 1990s to 7.4 in 2014, although there are some countries that have seen increases in adolescent suicide: Japan, Korea, Latvia, Mexico, and New Zealand [78]. Historically, adolescent girls have had higher rates of suicidality (thoughts and attempts) than males, but lower suicide mortality [79]. With the proliferation of guns in the United States, there has been an increase in completed suicides among young adolescent girls 10–15 years old [80]. In an analysis of suicidality among adolescents in 32 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), McKinnon et al. [81] reported a 12-month prevalence of 16.2% among females and 12.2% among adolescent males. Their study also found suicidal ideation to be significantly higher in the Americas than other regions of the world. This pattern was supported by Global School-based Health Survey data analyzed by Abio et al. [82], who also found that suicidal ideation was lowest in Southeast Asia (7% of students), and particularly high in several countries in Oceania (Kiribari, 34%; Samoa, 34%; Nauru, 28%). In India, a metaanalysis by Malhotra and Patra [83] found nearly a quarter (23.3%) of school children had "significant mental health morbidity". Suicide appears to be the leading cause of death among older adolescents in India [84].

While there is concern that adolescent mental health issues are increasing in sub-Saharan Africa, as Flisher et al. [85] indicate most published reports are based on small and often unrepresentative samples. Consequently, prevalence data are limited to small geographic areas such as the Western Cape and are frequently 15 or more years out of date. This point was underscored by Erskine et al. [86] who found that out of 187 countries, 124 had no data at all on adolescent mental health. In India, Aggarwal and Berk [87] reported that there was no consistent adolescent mental health data.

*Loneliness.* As has been seen with depression, there has been a dramatic increase in loneliness, especially among girls around the world. Specifically, analyzing PISA data from 37 countries, Twenge et al. [9] found a significant increase in loneliness between 2012 and 2018; by 2018, twice the number of adolescents were reporting feeling lonely than was found in 2012. Remarkably, trends in loneliness had been stable or even decreasing prior to 2012.

Data from mainly high-income countries, especially the United States, suggest the increase in loneliness parallels the increase in adolescent use of the internet and social media [9,88,89]. However, is it not yet clear if such relationships are causal or associational. It has been hypothesized that social media creates an exclusionary culture at school, exacerbating the experience of being an outsider [90]. Sherman et al. [91] suggested that social media favors superficial relationships, which in turn can enhance feelings of loneliness. Available evidence points towards a nuanced and bidirectional, rather than linear and unidirectional, relationship between internet or social media use and mental well-being. At the individual level, "well-being is usually highest at low levels of digital media use, not at non-use." [92] Between 2011 and 2019, there were 42 studies from highincome countries that reported a reciprocal relationship between social media and depression. For example, Romer et al. [93] reported that heavy internet use (more than four hours per day of gaming and social media) predicted depression a year later. Conversely, other research has shown that depression predicted heavier internet use as well as diminished participation in noninternet activities [94]. It is important to note that the effect sizes for these reciprocal relationships are small and probably insufficient in themselves to explain the changes in loneliness and depression. More work engaging broader, more diverse adolescent populations is needed to understand the drivers of loneliness among adolescents worldwide.

# Education

One of the great success stories since the establishment of the Millennium Development Goals in 2000 has been the increases in matriculation. Today, nearly 90% of children of primary school age worldwide have matriculated; and in 2021, approximately nine-out-of-10 children who matriculated in primary school completed it [95]. Since 2000, the number of secondary-schoolage children out of school has decreased by nearly 30%, although an estimated 195 million were still out of school in 2021 [96]. Additionally, in much of the world the sex gap in primary and secondary school enrollment have been all but eliminated. In fact, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, girls matched boys in secondary school attendance at the global level [96]. In low- and middle-income countries, gross secondary school enrollment increased to 73% (up from 66%) for girls and 74% (up from 68%) for boys between 2010 and 2020 [97]. As enrollment has increased, so too has academic achievement. Standardized, internationally normed, tests point toward significant gains worldwide in improved learning outcomes [98]. While the trends are positive, the gaps between countries are substantial and significant; for example, in Vietnam over 80% of children achieve at least minimal proficiency in mathematics, reading and science while in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Yemen it is closer to 40% [98].

Over the past decade, increases in adolescent educational attainment were matched by rising educational expectations. A survey of early adolescents living in seven low-income urban areas in five countries around the world found that, in every site sampled, at least 70% of young people planned on completing a minimum of an undergraduate university education [99]. The same study also found that gender differences in educational expectations, where present, favored girls; in Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, for example, 83% of girls expected to complete an undergraduate degree, compared with 61% of boys. The Young Lives Study – which provides longitudinal data for Ethiopia, Peru, Vietnam, and Andhra Pradesh, southern India – highlights how contexts influence the gap between educational aspirations and attainment. In Ethiopia, for instance, girls' aspirations for university education increased as they aged through adolescence, reaching 80% at age 19; boys' aspirations, meanwhile, peaked at age 15 (83%) and then fell to 75% at age 19 [100]. Across the four Young Lives study sites, those with higher educational aspirations stayed in school longer [101]. The UDAYA study, which has engaged more than 20,000 adolescents in the Bihar and Uttar Pradesh states of India, has found substantial proportions of young people staying in school through adolescence and into young adulthood. Specifically, in each state, approximately 30% of young women ages 18-22 were still in school if they were not married at baseline, when they were 15–19 years old [102,103].

While the improvements in education are encouraging, advances have been uneven globally with significant wealth and geographic gaps. Specifically, while only about 3% of lowersecondary-school-age adolescents from the wealthiest quintile around the world are out of school, 23% of their peers from the poorest quintile never matriculate. So too, there are sections of Central and West Africa where approximately 70% of those from the poorest households never attend secondary school. These data do not reflect the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on either enrollment or educational attainment [96].

## Employment and economic opportunity

Despite the noted gains in educational attainment, girls and young women (ages 15–24) are still much more likely to be not in education, employment, and training (NEET) than are boys and young men [29]. In some regions, including sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America, the female-male ratio of such disconnection exceeds 2:1 [104,105]. Researchers have pointed out that

a major driver of this is young women's greater involvement in domestic duties and childrearing [104]. In fact, survey data suggest that many girls still see their futures dominated by childrearing and domestic duties - although significant variation exists across cultures and settings. In Vietnam, among 19-yearold females who were not seeking work, 15% reported that this was due to domestic or care duties; in India and Peru, this proportion was twice that or more [106]. Within high-income countries, however, gender differences in NEET are smaller (11.6% of young women and 10.6% of young men), and decreases in this outcome since 2010 have been similar (1.6 percentage points and 1.8 percentage points, respectively). More recently, the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated youth disconnection from school and work. The International Labour Organization reports that in 2022 73 million young people were NEET compared with 67 million in 2019 [107].

Leveraging a 101-country dataset, researchers found that, beyond adolescence, female workers remain over-represented in informal or other work arrangements; however, increasing educational attainment and decreasing family sizes counteract this outcome [108]. Research in Latin America suggests that young women engaged in informal work experienced some of the highest levels of job loss, although informal work also rebounded rapidly during the pandemic recovery [109]. Today, the labor force participation rate of young women (32.1%) is approximately two-thirds that of young men (47.5%) globally, a ratio that shrinks to one-half among youth in lower-middle-income countries (22.8% and 44.3%, respectively) [107].

#### COVID-19

The COVID-19 pandemic has been and will continue to be a critical, formative experience for today's adolescents. As the pandemic progressed, experts called attention to the potential ways it could affect adolescent development and later life experiences [110]. While the physical impacts on adolescents were significantly less than what was experienced in other age groups, COVID-19 brought a wide range of harm to well-being and daily life of young people, spanning domains of health, social interaction, and economic security; and, as Ramaiya et al. [111] note, within the pandemic's many impacts, "there are disparities, with poor, marginalized and vulnerable populations being disproportionately affected." The impact of the pandemic on adolescent mental health has been the focus of significant research, with clear and consistent findings showing elevated psychological distress [112], often buffered by protective factors such as family connectedness and social supports [113,114].

The pandemic also increased economic and food insecurity. In Semarang, Indonesia, for example, roughly a quarter of adolescents surveyed reported losses of income in their families; and boys especially reported food insecurity in their households (43% of boys and 25% of girls) [115]. In a survey of young adolescents in Kinshasa, DRC, food insecurity during the pandemic was reported by three out of five adolescents [116]. COVID also appears to have had lasting impacts on adolescents' educational attainment. Roughly half of boys and one-fifth of girls surveyed in Kinshasa reported thinking they would complete less education due to COVID-19 [116]. Research with adolescents in Ethiopia found that postreopening attendance at school was roughly 25% lower than before the pandemic, with girls and rural students less likely than others to return to school [117]. School closings during the pandemic also led to social isolation among adolescents. During the middle months of 2020, for example, just one in eight surveyed adolescents in Mexico and Chile reported contact with their peers [118]. Such social isolation and COVID related stresses have been credited with exacerbating adolescent distress [119]. As suggested by de Figueiredo et al. [120], the pandemic disrupted peer interaction (and as a consequence, social/cognitive development), while simultaneously increasing screen-time — two factors that may contribute to psychological distress. While school connectedness has been observed as an effective buffer against negative mental health impacts of the pandemic, research in the United States indicates that strong connections to school were significantly less present among students receiving virtual instruction [121].

# Discussion

The present manuscript presents a review of recent health and behavioral trends affecting adolescents' lives and well-being around the globe. There have been laudable successes, as well as frustrating challenges. Where there have been marked improvements, they have been concentrated in the domain of adolescent sexual and reproductive health; and within this domain, global and regional progress has been found in the issues for which there have been concerted, consistent, and persistent efforts: HIV, child marriage and adolescent childbearing, and FGM/C. Where issues have not been the target of long-haul, coordinated global public health investment — for adolescents, these include non-HIV STIs, nutrition, and mental health — we see outcomes have been unchanged or exacerbated. This pattern is far from new [122,123]. Indeed, the findings of the present review largely serve as a reminder that achieving good adolescent health globally requires effort and investment across all facets of adolescent's lives, rather than in a few priority siloes. Moreover, efforts should recognize potential synergies and leverage them to maximize progress across domains. The connection between gains in girls' educational opportunities and reductions in child marriage serves as one example [124,125].

Where possible, we have attempted to provide some insight into the ways that such trends have improved or exacerbated disparities across lines of country or region, gender, economic status, or more. While it may not be reasonable to expect progress to be globally uniform, such divergencies point towards the continued need for equity-focused policy and investment. Regarding gender inequality, for instance, *gender-transformative* approaches explicitly challenge gender norms that have deleterious impacts on the health of male, female, and gender-diverse adolescents, and there is growing evidence for their effects not only in norms change, but also in improving well-being [126]. At the same time, norms change efforts must be complemented by macro-level and multisectoral efforts to expand opportunity, safety, and stability, especially among the most disadvantaged adolescents, if equity is to be achieved [127,128].

The same goes for adolescent health research. Over the past decade, the field has grown in its attempts to unpack diverging experiences and identities of young people by gender, ethnic background, cultural background, and other factors. However, more work remains. Future research must more consistently take an intersectional perspective [129], better representing and serving those with diverse gender identities, sexual orientations, ethnic, cultural and racial identities, and others who have historically been excluded including Indigenous populations, young

people with cognitive and physical disabilities, and out-of-home young people. In other words, the study of trends in global adolescent well-being remains limited until we are able to be inclusive of *all* adolescents. In the words of Baird and colleagues, this requires research frameworks "that can grasp how structural inequalities and truncated opportunities for political and economic participation interact with social norms about age and gender to produce exclusion" [130].

In the past few years, researchers have developed such frameworks to inform thinking around these topics, including on the relationships between structural racism and healthy development [131,132]. Frameworks such as these represent valuable tools in bringing an equity focus to adolescent health research. However, significant work remains; the review on racism and indigenous adolescent development by Uink et al. [133], for instance, identified just 32 studies on this topic. There are also structural factors that remain underexplored, particularly in the context of global adolescent health. Religious discrimination serves as one example. Despite high and mounting restrictions on religious freedom around the world [134], research on the impact of religious discrimination on adolescent health remains limited. Further research explicating structural inequalities, unaddressed injustices, and ongoing disparities is needed to better inform and evaluate policies that support adolescent well-being.

#### Strengths and limitations

This was a selective review of recent research across several domains of adolescent health and well-being. As previously stated, the areas covered in this review were far from exhaustive; important topics not included are tobacco, alcohol and other substance abuse; injury and violence, including domestic and interpersonal violence; suicidality; or food insecurity. In focusing on a selection of topics, we have taken the opportunity to go beyond global data on levels and trends to provide some insight into variation across different adolescent populations. As previously stated, such disaggregation is key in building a nuanced understanding of the lives of adolescents today. Wherever possible, the statistics discussed in this review have been the latest available at the time of publication, including presenting findings on adolescent health and well-being during not only the pandemic but also the postpandemic periods.

It is also important to note several limitations in this review. While, due to data availability, some statistics included the broader age groups of children (0-18) or youth (15-24), this review focused on the adolescent population wherever possible. The investigation of recent trends among these other age groups, including demographic and developmental changes, is worthwhile but out of scope for this review. While many of the statistics cited come from nationally representative or metaanalytic data sources, this is not possible in all instances. Additionally, nationally representative samples often under-report the most vulnerable sub-populations, and lack the granular information necessary to conduct an intersectional analysis [135]. While international studies such as the Global Early Adolescent Study and Gender and Adolescence: Global Evidence have made strides in gathering data on adolescent populations not previously covered, more data are needed. There is a particular need for global data systems supporting adolescent mental health and refinement of the measures used in this domain. Despite the recognition of adolescent mental health as a growing global crisis, data coverage remains low, particularly in LMICs. Further, as Ali et al. [136] have noted in their systematic review of mental health screening tools in LMICs, "many of the best performing tools... were developed from scratch for specific populations in particular settings." Adolescents must be prioritized in the development of better approaches to mental health measurement, particularly given their increased vulnerability to mental health issues in the wake of COVID-19.

Additionally, this review largely examined quantitative, survey-collected data, with relatively less representation of qualitative research. Participatory methods that prioritize adolescents' perspectives and agency, for example, can also provide a worthy lens and new insight into issues of adolescent well-being, although recommendations for high-quality conduct of such research are still developing [137]. Adolescent health topics should also be considered using a broader set of epistemological approaches. To date, the vast preponderance of published research on adolescent health and development has been conducted using Western methodologies, epistemologies, and definitions of rigor. However, the continued privileging of these approaches by Western researchers and mainstream academic journals can promote deficit-based understandings of young people who exist outside of a dominant culture; it shrinks opportunities for Indigenous scholars, practitioners, and youth; and it constrains the depth and breadth of our knowledge about what helps young people thrive.

## Conclusion

Since 2010, the lives of adolescents around the world have changed in complex ways. In some areas, such as HIV, FGM/C, child marriage and adolescent childbearing, and secondary school enrollment, progress has been noteworthy. Trends related to nutrition and non-HIV STIs remain a cause for concern. In some areas, such as mental health and social connection, already worrying developments have been exacerbated by the global COVID-19 pandemic. Further, the gains experienced by some adolescents have not been experienced by others; disparities by gender, geography, poverty, and other areas of marginalization remain. Moving forward, the adolescent health research community must strive for more intentionally inclusive approaches and interrogate the structures that create inequities in adolescent well-being.

#### Acknowledgments

This manuscript is part of a WHO-led effort to take stock of the progress made in addressing the research and development priorities on the sexual and reproductive health of very young adolescents. The insight and collaboration of all organizers and participants in this effort was invaluable in this manuscript's development. The authors would also like to express their gratitude to the peer reviewers, whose thorough review and feedback strengthened this manuscript.

#### **Funding Sources**

This work was supported through an award from the World Health Organization.

## References

- [1] Christie D, Viner R. Adolescent development. BMJ 2005;330:301-4.
- [2] World Health Organization. Adolescent health. World Health Organization. 2023. Available at: https://www.who.int/health-topics/adolescenthealth. Accessed May 17, 2023.
- [3] Sawyer SM, Azzopardi PS, Wickremarathne D, Patton GC. The age of adolescence. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2018;2:223–8.
- [4] Pozuelo JR, Desborough L, Stein A, Cipriani A. Systematic review and meta-analysis: Depressive symptoms and risky behaviors among adolescents in low- and middle-income countries. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2022;61:255–76.
- [5] Blum R, Boyden J. Understand the lives of youth in low-income countries. Nature 2018;554:435–7.
- [6] Viner RM, Ozer EM, Denny S, et al. Adolescence and the social determinants of health. Lancet 2012;379:1641–52.
- [7] UNICEF. Child displacement. 2023. Available at: https://data.unicef.org/ topic/child-migration-and-displacement/displacement/. Accessed September 19, 2023.
- [8] Putnam RD. Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community. 1. Touchstone ed. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster [u.a.]; 2001.
- [9] Twenge JM, Haidt J, Blake AB, et al. Worldwide increases in adolescent loneliness. J Adolesc 2021;93:257–69.
- [10] Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health and United Nations Children's Fund. On my mind: How adolescents experience and perceive mental health around the world. JHU and UNICEF. 2022. Available at: https://www.unicef.org/media/119751/file. Accessed October 13, 2022.
- [11] Office of the Surgeon General. Social media and youth mental health: The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General. 2023. Available at: https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2023/05/23/surgeongeneral-issues-new-advisory-about-effects-social-media-use-has-youthmental-health.html. Accessed May 30, 2023.
- [12] Holly L, Wong BLH, Agrawal A, et al. Opportunities and threats for adolescent wellbeing provided by digital transformations. PMNCH. Available at: https://pmnch.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/ opportunities-and-threats-for-adolescent-well-being-provided-by-digit al-transformations. Accessed January 16, 2024.
- [13] UNICEF. Adolescents. UNICEF. 2022. Available at: https://data.unicef.org/ topic/adolescents/overview/. Accessed June 29, 2023.
- [14] UNICEF. Global overview, population and society: Population (share). UNICEF. 2023. Available at: https://data.unicef.org/adp/global-overview/? plus5=Population+%28Share%29. Accessed June 29, 2023.
- [15] Ipsos. LGBT+ pride 2021 global survey. Ipsos. 2021. Available at: https:// www.ipsos.com/en-us/news-polls/ipsos-lgbt-pride-2021-global-survey. Accessed May 30, 2023.
- [16] Human Rights Watch. Maps of anti-LGBT laws country by country. Human Rights Watch; n.d. Available at: http://internap.hrw.org/features/features/ lgbt\_laws/. Accessed May 18, 2023.
- [17] Redfield E, Conron KJ, Tentindo W, Browning E. Prohibiting genderaffirming medical care for youth. The Williams Institute, UCLA. 2023. Available at: https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/publications/banstrans-youth-health-care/. Accessed May 18, 2023.
- [18] Pew Research Center. How COVID-19 restrictions affected religious groups around the world in 2020. Pew Research Center. 2022. Available at: https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2022/11/29/how-covid-19restrictions-affected-religious-groups-around-the-world-in-2020/. Accessed January 20, 2024.
- [19] Perry J. Trust in public institutions: Trends and implications for economic security. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. 2021. Available at: https://www.un.org/development/desa/dspd/2021/07/trustpublic-institutions/. Accessed January 20, 2024.
- [20] Phillimore JA, Bradby H, Brand T. Superdiversity, population health and health care: Opportunities and challenges in a changing world. Public Health 2019;172:93–8.
- [21] Metzner F, Adedeji A, Wichmann MLY, et al. Experiences of discrimination and everyday racism among children and adolescents with an immigrant background – results of a systematic literature review on the impact of discrimination on the developmental outcomes of minors worldwide. Front Psychol 2022;13:805941.
- [22] Muthengi E, Olum R, Chandra-Mouli V. Context matters—one size does not fit all when designing interventions to prevent child marriage. J Adolesc Health 2021;69:S1–3.
- [23] Kågesten A, Gibbs S, Blum RW, et al. Understanding factors that shape gender attitudes in early adolescence globally: A mixed-methods systematic review. PLoS One 2016;11(6):e0157805.
- [24] Nielson MG, Schroeder KM, Martin CL, Cook RE. Investigating the relation between gender typicality and pressure to conform to gender norms. Sex Roles 2020;83:523–35.

- [25] Thang N, Hang NTT. Leaving no one behind in a growing Vietnam: The story from young lives. Young Lives. 2018. Available at: https://www. younglives.org.uk/sites/default/files/migrated/YLVietnam\_SummativeCou ntryReport.pdf. Accessed January 20, 2024.
- [26] UNESCO. Leave no child behind: Global report on boys' disengagement from education. Paris, France: UNESCO; 2022.
- [27] Kennedy E, Binder G, Humphries-Waa K, et al. Gender inequalities in health and wellbeing across the first two decades of life: An analysis of 40 low-income and middle-income countries in the Asia-Pacific region. Lancet Glob Health 2020;8:e1473–88.
- [28] Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: A Lancet Commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. Lancet 2016;387:2423–78.
- [29] Azzopardi PS, Hearps SJC, Francis KL, et al. Progress in adolescent health and wellbeing: Tracking 12 headline indicators for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016. Lancet 2019;393:1101–18.
- [30] World Health Organization. Global accelerated action for the health of adolescents (AA-HA!): Guidance to support country implementation, second edition. World Health Organization. 2023. Available at: https:// www.who.int/publications/ii/item/9789240081765. Accessed January 2, 2024.
- [31] UNICEF. The state of the world's children. UNICEF. Available at: https:// www.unicef.org/reports/state-of-worlds-children. Accessed January 12, 2024.
- [32] Partnership for Maternal, Newborn & Child Health. What young people want: Interim analysis of the world's largest survey on adolescent and youth well-being. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2023.
- [33] Patton GC, Neufeld LM, Dogra S, et al. Nourishing our future: The Lancet series on adolescent nutrition. Lancet 2022;399:123–5.
- [34] United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Sustainable Development. The 17 goals: Sustainable development. United Nations. 2023. Available at: https://sdgs.un.org/goals. Accessed January 12, 2024.
- [35] United Nations. Decade of action on nutrition. United Nations. 2023. Available at: https://www.un.org/nutrition/home. Accessed January 12, 2024.
- [36] The Lancet. Adolescent nutrition. 2021. Available at: https://www. thelancet.com/series/adolescent-nutrition. Accessed January 12, 2024.
- [37] Safiri S, Kolahi AA, Noori M, et al. Burden of anemia and its underlying causes in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: Results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. J Hematol Oncol 2021;14:185.
- [38] Le Dain AS, Sagalova V, Sodjinou R, et al. Levels and trends of adolescent girl's undernutrition and anemia in West and Central Africa from 1998 to 2017. J Glob Health 2021;11:13006.
- [39] UNICEF. Undernourished and overlooked: A global nutrition crisis in adolescent girls and women. UNICEF. 2023. Available at: https://www. unicef.org/reports/undernourished-overlooked-nutrition-crisis. Accessed January 12, 2024.
- [40] Kassebaum NJ. The global burden of anemia. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 2016;30:247–308.
- [41] Fan H, Zhang X. Recent trends in overweight and obesity in adolescents aged 12 to 15 years across 21 countries. Pediatr Obes 2022;17:e12839.
- [42] Wold Obesity Federation. World obesity Atlas 2023. World Obesity Federation. 2023. Available at: https://data.worldobesity.org/ publications/?cat=19. Accessed January 12, 2024.
- [43] UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics fact sheet. UNAIDS. 2024. Available at: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet. Accessed January 15, 2024.
- [44] Khalifa A, Stover J, Mahy M, et al. Demographic change and HIV epidemic projections to 2050 for adolescents and young people aged 15-24. Glob Health Action 2019;12:1662685.
- [45] Du M, Yan W, Jing W, et al. Increasing incidence rates of sexually transmitted infections from 2010 to 2019: An analysis of temporal trends by geographical regions and age groups from the 2019 Global Burden of Disease Study. BMC Infect Dis 2022;22:574.
- [46] Kreisel KM, Spicknall IH, Gargano JW, et al. Sexually transmitted infections among US women and men: Prevalence and incidence estimates, 2018. Sex Transm Dis 2021;48:208–14.
- [47] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually transmitted disease surveillance 2021. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2023. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/std/statistics/2021/default.htm. Accessed January 14, 2023.
- [48] Shannon CL, Klausner JD. The growing epidemic of sexually transmitted infections in adolescents: A neglected population. Curr Opin Pediatr 2018; 30:137–43.
- [49] Corcoran JL, Li P, Davies SL, et al. Adolescent Chlamydia rates by region, race, and sex: Trends from 2013 to 2017. J Pediatr Health Care 2021;35: 172–9.
- [50] World Health Organization. The sexual and reproductive health of young adolescents in developing countries: Reviewing the evidence, identifying research gaps, and moving the Agenda. World Health Organization. 2011. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/70569/ WHO\_RHR\_11.11\_eng.pdf. Accessed September 21, 2022.

- [51] UNICEF. Female genital mutilation (FGM). UNICEF. 2023. Available at: https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-protection/female-genital-mutilation/. Accessed June 1, 2023.
- [52] Kandala NB, Ezejimofor MC, Uthman OA, Komba P. Secular trends in the prevalence of female genital mutilation/cutting among girls: A systematic analysis. BMJ Glob Health 2018;3:e000549.
- [53] UNICEF. Is an end to child marriage within reach? UNICEF. 2023. Available at: https://data.unicef.org/resources/is-an-end-to-child-marriage-withinreach. Accessed June 2, 2023.
- [54] Halim D, Rivera S. Love, marriage, and development: 4 observations [data blog]. World Bank. 2020. Available at: https://blogs.worldbank.org/ opendata/love-marriage-and-development-4-observations. Accessed [une 1, 2023.
- [55] Onagoruwa A, Wodon Q. Measuring the impact of child marriage on total fertility: A study for fifteen countries. J Biosoc Sci 2018;50:626–39.
- [56] Kamal SM, Ulas E. Child marriage and its impact on fertility and fertilityrelated outcomes in South Asian countries. Int Sociol 2021;36:362–77.
- [57] UNICEF. Child marriage. UNICEF. 2022. Available at: https://data.unicef. org/topic/child-protection/child-marriage. Accessed June 19, 2023.
   [58] United Nations Population Fund [UNFPA]. Motherhood in childhood: The
- [58] United Nations Population Fund [UNFPA]. Motherhood in childhood: The untold story. UNFPA. 2022. Available at: https://www.unfpa.org/sites/ default/files/pub-pdf/MotherhoodInChildhood\_report.pdf. Accessed June 19, 2023.
- [59] Sanhueza A, Costa JC, Mújica OJ, et al. Trends and inequities in adolescent childbearing in Latin American and Caribbean countries across generations and over time: A population-based study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2023;7:392–404.
- [60] Pesando LM, GFC Team. Global family change: Persistent diversity with development. Popul Dev Rev 2019;45:133–68.
- [61] Therborn G. Between sex and power: Family in the world, 1900-2000. London, UK: Routledge; 2004.
- [62] Ortiz-Ospina E, Roser M. Marriages and divorces. Global change data lab. 2020. Available at: https://ourworldindata.org/marriages-and-divorces. Accessed September 27, 2023.
- [63] Gonalons-Pons P, Gangl M. Marriage and masculinity: Male-breadwinner culture, unemployment, and separation risk in 29 countries. Am Sociol Rev 2021;86:465–502.
- [64] Smock PJ, Schwartz CR. The demography of families: A review of patterns and change. J Marriage Fam 2020;82:9–34.
- [65] Raybould A, Sear R. Children of the (gender) revolution: A theoretical and empirical synthesis of how gendered division of labour influences fertility. Popul Stud 2021;75:169–90.
- [66] Chandra-Mouli V, Akwara E, Engel D, et al. Progress in adolescent sexual and reproductive health and rights globally between 1990 and 2016: What progress has been made, what contributed to this, and what are the implications for the future? Sex Reprod Health Matters 2020;28:1741495.
- [67] Starrs AM, Ezeh AC, Barker G, et al. Accelerate progress—sexual and reproductive health and rights for all: Report of the Guttmacher– Lancet Commission. Lancet 2018;391:2642–92.
- [68] UNICEF. Mental health. UNICEF. 2021. Available at: https://data.unicef. org/topic/child-health/mental-health/. Accessed September 27, 2023.
- [69] Balázs J, Miklósi M, Keresztény Á, et al. Adolescent subthresholddepression and anxiety: Psychopathology, functional impairment and increased suicide risk: Adolescent subthreshold-depression and anxiety. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2013;54:670–7.
- [70] Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet 2020;396: 1204–22.
- [71] Santomauro DF, Melen S, Mitchison D, et al. The hidden burden of eating disorders: An extension of estimates from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet Psychiatry 2021;8:320–8.
- [72] Solmi M, Radua J, Olivola M, et al. Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: Large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies. Mol Psychiatry 2022;27:281–95.
- [73] Bor W, Dean AJ, Najman J, Hayatbakhsh R. Are child and adolescent mental health problems increasing in the 21st century? A systematic review. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2014;48:606–16.
- [74] Blomqvist I, Henje Blom E, Hägglöf B, Hammarström A. Increase of internalized mental health symptoms among adolescents during the last three decades. Eur J Public Health 2019;29:925–31.
- [75] Burns T, Gottschalk F, eds. Educating 21st century children: emotional well-being in the digital age. Paris, France: OECD Publishing; 2019.
- [76] Shorey S, Ng ED, Wong CHJ. Global prevalence of depression and elevated depressive symptoms among adolescents: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Br J Clin Psychol 2022;61:287–305.
- [77] Yang X, Fang Y, Chen H, et al. Global, regional and national burden of anxiety disorders from 1990 to 2019: Results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci 2021;30:e36.
- [78] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD]. CO4.4: Teenage suicides (15-19 years old). OECD. 2017. Available at:

https://www.oecd.org/els/family/CO\_4\_4\_Teenage-Suicide.pdf. Accessed June 29, 2023.

- [79] Miranda-Mendizabal A, Castellví P, Parés-Badell O, et al. Gender differences in suicidal behavior in adolescents and young adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Int J Public Health 2019; 64:265–83.
- [80] Ruch DA, Sheftall AH, Schlagbaum P, et al. Trends in suicide among youth aged 10 to 19 years in the United States, 1975 to 2016. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e193886.
- [81] McKinnon B, Gariépy G, Sentenac M, Elgar FJ. Adolescent suicidal behaviours in 32 low- and middle-income countries. Bull World Health Organ 2016;94:340–350F.
- [82] Abio A, Owusu PN, Posti JP, et al. Cross-national examination of adolescent suicidal behavior: A pooled and multi-level analysis of 193,484 students from 53 LMIC countries. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2022;57:1603–13.
- [83] Malhotra S, Patra BN. Prevalence of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health 2014;8:22.
- [84] Patel V, Ramasundarahettige C, Vijayakumar L, et al. Suicide mortality in India: A nationally representative survey. Lancet 2012;379:2343–51.
- [85] Flisher AJ, Dawes A, Kafaar Z, et al. Child and adolescent mental health in South Africa. J Child Adolesc Ment Health 2012;24:149–61.
- [86] Erskine HE, Baxter AJ, Patton G, et al. The global coverage of prevalence data for mental disorders in children and adolescents. Epidemiol Psychiatry Sci 2017;26:395–402.
- [87] Aggarwal S, Berk M. Evolution of adolescent mental health in a rapidly changing socioeconomic environment: A review of mental health studies in adolescents in India over last 10 years. Asian J Psychiatry 2015;13:3–12.
- [88] Twenge JM, Spitzberg BH, Campbell WK. Less in-person social interaction with peers among U.S. adolescents in the 21st century and links to loneliness. J Soc Pers Relatsh 2019;36:1892–913.
- [89] Twenge JM, Spitzberg BH. Declines in non-digital social interaction among Americans, 2003–2017. J Appl Soc Psychol 2020;50:363–7.
- [90] Luby J, Kertz S. Increasing suicide rates in early adolescent girls in the United States and the equalization of sex disparity in suicide: The need to investigate the role of social media. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2: e193916.
- [91] Sherman LE, Michikyan M, Greenfield PM. The effects of text, audio, video, and in-person communication on bonding between friends. Cyberpsychology 2013;7:3.
- [92] Twenge JM, Martin GN, Campbell WK. Decreases in psychological wellbeing among American adolescents after 2012 and links to screen time during the rise of smartphone technology. Emotion 2018;18:765–80.
- [93] Romer D, Bagdasarov Z, More E. Older versus newer media and the wellbeing of United States youth: Results from a national longitudinal panel. J Adolesc Health 2013;52:613–9.
- [94] Houghton S, Lawrence D, Hunter SC, et al. Reciprocal relationships between trajectories of depressive symptoms and screen media use during adolescence. J Youth Adolesc 2018;47:2453–67.
- [95] UNICEF. Primary education. UNICEF. 2022. Available at: https://data. unicef.org/topic/education/primary-education/. Accessed June 29, 2023.
- [96] UNICEF. Secondary education. UNICEF. 2022. Available at: https://data. unicef.org/topic/education/secondary-education/. Accessed March 23, 2023.
- [97] World Bank Open Data. School enrollment, secondary (% gross) low & middle income. 2022. Available at: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/ SE.SEC.ENRR?locations=XO. Accessed February 10, 2023.
- [98] Roser M, Ortiz-Ospina E. Global education. Global change data lab. 2016. Available at: https://ourworldindata.org/global-education. Accessed July 21, 2023.
- [99] Mmari K, Cooper D, Moreau C, et al. The social context of early adolescents in the global early adolescent study. J Adolesc Health 2021;69:S5–15.
- [100] Favara M. Do dreams come true? Aspirations and educational attainments of Ethiopian boys and girls. Young lives. 2016. Available at: https://www. younglives.org.uk/publications/aspirations-and-educational-attainmentsethiopian-boys-and-girls. Accessed June 16, 2023.
- [101] Cueto S, Felipe C, León J. Predictors of school dropout across Ethiopia, India, Peru, and Vietnam. GRADE: Grupo de Análisis para el Desarrollo. 2020. Available at: https://www.grade.org.pe/publicaciones/predictorsof-school-dropout-across-ethiopia-india-peru-and-vietnam/. Accessed June 16, 2023.
- [102] Population Council. UDAYA understanding the lives of adolescents and young adults: Uttar Pradesh factsheet 2018–19. Population Council. 2020. Available at: https://www.projectudaya.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/ Factsheet\_Uttar-Pradesh.pdf. Accessed June 16, 2023.
- [103] Population Council. UDAYA understanding the lives of adolescents and young adults: Bihar factsheet 2018–19. Population Council. 2020. Available at: https://www.projectudaya.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/ Factsheet\_Bihar.pdf. Accessed June 16, 2023.

- [104] Cieslik K, Barford A, Vira B. Young people not in employment, education or training (NEET) in Sub-Saharan Africa: Sustainable development target 8.6 missed and reset. J Youth Stud 2022;25:1126–47.
- [105] Kiss L, Fotheringhame D, Quinlan-Davidson M. Latin American young NEETs: Brazil as a case study for systemic risks of youth social exclusion. J Youth Stud 2022;25:1386–410.
- [106] Young Lives. Reasons for not being in work and not looking for work. Young Lives; n.d. Available at: https://www.younglives.org.uk/ multimedia/reasons-not-being-work-and-not-looking-work. Accessed June 17, 2023.
- [107] International Labour Organization [ILO]. Global employment trends for youth 2022. International Labour Organization. 2022. Available at: https://reliefweb.int/report/world/global-employment-trends-youth-2022-investing-transforming-futures-young-people. Accessed June 1, 2023.
- [108] Lo Bue MC, Le TTN, Santos Silva M, Sen K. Gender and vulnerable employment in the developing world: Evidence from global microdata. World Dev 2022;159:106010.
- [109] Leyva G, Urrutia C. Informal labor markets in times of pandemic. Rev Econ Dyn 2023;47:158–85.
- [110] Mistry RS, Benner AD, Kimura AM. Covid-19 and children's social development: Insights from the life-course perspective. In: Smith PK, Hart CH, eds. The Wiley-Blackwell handbook of childhood social development. 1st ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley; 2022:224–38.
- [111] Ramaiya A, Chandra-Mouli V, Both R, et al. Assessing the health, social, educational and economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on adolescents in low- and middle-income countries: A rapid review of the literature. Sex Reprod Health Matters 2023;31:2187170.
- [112] Theberath M, Bauer D, Chen W, et al. Effects of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health of children and adolescents: A systematic review of survey studies. SAGE Open Med 2022;10:205031212210867.
- [113] Bera L, Souchon M, Ladsous A, et al. Emotional and behavioral impact of the COVID-19 epidemic in adolescents. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2022;24:37– 46.
- [114] Garagiola ER, Lam Q, Wachsmuth LS, et al. Adolescent resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic: A review of the impact of the pandemic on developmental milestones. Behav Sci 2022;12:220.
- [115] Global Early Adolescent Study. Semarang, Indonesia: COVID-19 fact sheet. Global Early Adolescent Study. 2021. Available at: https://www.geastudy. org/all-reports/semarang-indonesia-covid-19-fact-sheet. Accessed March 15, 2023.
- [116] Global Early Adolescent Study. Kinshasa, DRC: COVID-19 fact sheet. Global Early Adolescent Study. 2021. Available at: https://www.geastudy. org/all-reports/kinshasa-drc-covid-19-fact-sheet-english. Accessed March 15, 2023.
- [117] Jones N, Devonald M, Dutton R, et al. Disrupted education trajectories: Exploring the effects of Covid-19 on adolescent learning and priorities for "building back better" education systems in Ethiopia. Dev Policy Rev 2022;40:e12607.
- [118] Bravo-Sanzana M, Oriol X, Miranda R. Characterization of wellbeing and its relationship with exposure to violence in Mexican and Chilean early and late adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic. Child Indic Res 2022;15:553–78.
- [119] Orben A, Tomova L, Blakemore SJ. The effects of social deprivation on adolescent development and mental health. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2020;4:634–40.
- [120] de Figueiredo CS, Sandre PC, Portugal LCL, et al. COVID-19 pandemic impact on children and adolescents' mental health: Biological, environmental, and social factors. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2021;106:110171.
- [121] Hertz MF, Kilmer G, Verlenden J, et al. Adolescent mental health, connectedness, and mode of school instruction during COVID-19. J Adolesc Health 2022;70:57–63.
- [122] Liang M, Simelane S, Fortuny Fillo G, et al. The state of adolescent sexual and reproductive health. J Adolesc Health 2019;65:S3–15.
- [123] Chandra-Mouli V, Ferguson BJ, Plesons M, et al. The political, research, programmatic, and social responses to adolescent sexual and reproductive health and rights in the 25 years since the International Conference on Population and Development. J Adolesc Health 2019;65:S16–40.
- [124] Psaki SR, Melnikas AJ, Haque E, et al. What are the drivers of child marriage? A conceptual framework to guide policies and programs. J Adolesc Health 2021;69:S13-22.
- [125] Engel DMC, Paul M, Chalasani S, et al. A package of sexual and reproductive health and rights interventions—what does it mean for adolescents? J Adolesc Health 2019;65:S41–50.
- [126] Levy JK, Darmstadt GL, Ashby C, et al. Characteristics of successful programmes targeting gender inequality and restrictive gender norms for the health and wellbeing of children, adolescents, and young adults: A systematic review. Lancet Glob Health 2020;8:e225–36.
- [127] Winter F. Shaping aspirations and outcomes: Gender and adoelscence in young lives. Young Lives. 2016. Available at: https://www.younglives.org.

uk/publications/shaping-aspirations-and-outcomes-gender-and-adolesce nce-young-lives. Accessed January 19, 2024.

- [128] Akwara E, Chandra-Mouli V. Good progress in a number of areas of ASRH, but there is much more that needs to be done. Sex Reprod Health Matters 2023;31:2266657.
- [129] Crenshaw K. Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory, and antiracist politics. Univ Chic Leg Forum 1989;1989:8.
- [130] Baird S, Bhutta ZA, Hamad BA, et al. Do restrictive gender attitudes and norms influence physical and mental health during very young adolescence? Evidence from Bangladesh and Ethiopia. SSM Popul Health 2019; 9:100480.
- [131] Heard-Garris N, Boyd R, Kan K, et al. Structuring poverty: How racism shapes child poverty and child and adolescent health. Acad Pediatr 2021; 21:S108–16.
- [132] Malawa Z, Gaarde J, Spellen S. Racism as a root cause approach: A new framework. Pediatrics 2021;147:e2020015602.

- [133] Uink B, Bennett R, Bullen J, et al. Racism and indigenous adolescent development: A scoping review. J Res Adolesc 2022;32:487–500.
- [134] Pew Research Center. In 2018, Government restrictions on religion reach highest decade globally in more than a decade. Pew Research Center. 2020. Available at: https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2020/11/10/ in-2018-government-restrictions-on-religion-reach-highest-level-globally-in-more-than-a-decade/. Accessed January 22, 2024.
- [135] Chandra-Mouli V, Neal S, Moller AB. Adolescent sexual and reproductive health for all in sub-Saharan Africa: A spotlight on inequalities. Reprod Health 2021;18:118.
- [136] Ali GC, Ryan G, De Silva MJ. Validated screening tools for common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. PLoS One 2016;11:e0156939.
- [137] Freire K, Pope R, Jeffrey K, et al. Engaging with children and adolescents: A systematic review of participatory methods and approaches in research informing the development of health resources and interventions. Adolesc Res Rev 2022;7:335–54.